Topics
My posts
Drafts
Preferences
More
CATEGORIES
Philosophy
Society, Government...
Science, Technology, ...
Psychology and Mind
Religion and Spiritua...
Creative Writing

Oct 1

174 / 198
Dec 16

2d ago

kithtus77 (formerly She™) kithtus77 5d

You're saying to be is to be perceived...

...but...

...is everything as we perceive it?

Just wait a second. That'll change.

But what is constant between the changes, hmmmm???

Something always is. Something always does.

Whhewwwhhhhhhwwwwwwhhhhy?

Over to you.

P.s. Why + how = wow. Simple math.

1 Reply

Jupiter123 abc1231 daniel | lavender 5d

Why is it that you know you that you exist because you need to exist to claim that you don't exist and yet you claim to be a misrepresentation of reality Daniel?

daniel | lavender 5d

> **kithtus77 (formerly She™)**
> You're saying to be is to be perceived...

That's what Berkeley said. His definition is indeed limited to *perception*, "to be is to be perceived". His entire system is completely dependent upon an omniscient, all-perceiving entity in order to work; the entity must perceive all for all to be. In that sense his philosophy is relatively rigid.

The definition presented here includes perception but is not restricted to it:

> **daniel | lavender:**
> *Existence (n.): Being; that which is perceived; at least in part; that which is interacted with, at least in part, in some way.*

Perception is an easy, practical way for us as conscious beings to discern and substantiate existence. That particular feature functions well in this situation so I used it to provide examples.

Existence is regardless of perception. Hence the "in part" clause in the definition. This is further discussed in the Significance Of Perception section of the essay.

Existence can be perceived, at least in part. It is being perceived at this moment. However it does not need to be perceived.

Definitions are epistemic tools employed by conscious beings. Definitions concern our knowledge and understanding as conscious beings, not the dependence of existence on them.

Existence, what is, is not dependent upon definitions. However definitions are useful instruments allowing us to understand and substantiate existence.

> **kithtus77 (formerly She™)**
> ...is everything as we perceive it?

If something is perceived existence is substantiated, on that level.

> **kithtus77 (formerly She™)**
> But what is constant between the changes, hmmmm???

Existence is constant, as expressed in the essay.

Parts, individuals may change. A caterpillar becomes a butterfly, for example. However existence persists through the change. Existence is the caterpillar and the butterfly, and every stage in between, before and after. Existence is all.

1 Reply